

Axiom Climate Financial Bonds – Share Class IC EUR

Sub-fund of the Luxembourg SICAV : Axiom Lux


NAV & Monthly perf.

1317,3
-0,67%

Assets Under Management

€ 67M

SRRI¹



SFDR²



Investment objectives³


The investment objective of the Fund is to achieve, over a minimum 3-year investment horizon, a return net of management fees equal to or greater than that of its benchmark, the BofA Contingent Capital Index⁵ (with coupons reinvested), through investments that meet Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) criteria

Historical performance (EUR)⁴

	Annual performances ⁶					
	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Axiom Climate Financial Bonds - IC EUR	12.33%	-6.13%	14.50%	3.71%	4.12%	-7.20%
Benchmark	12.92%	-5.44%	15.31%	5.83%	4.08%	-12.95%

	Annualized Performances ⁶			Cumulated Performances ⁶					
	3 years	5 years	Since inception	1 month	YTD	1 year	3 years	5 years	Since inception
Axiom Climate Financial Bonds - IC EUR	0.79%	1.53%	3.52%	-0.67%	1.32%	-1.87%	2.38%	7.89%	31.73%
Benchmark	-0.30%	1.39%	3.54%	-1.65%	2.69%	-5.46%	-0.90%	7.17%	31.95%

Net of fees performance since inception (base 1000)⁴



Key metrics

Number of positions	70	Yield to Call (EUR)	5.71%
Volatility 5 years	8.31%	Yield to Maturity (EUR)	5.97%
Volatility 3 years	10.23%	Modified duration	2.05
Sharpe ratio 5 years	0.21	Credit sensitivity	2.31
Sharpe ratio 3 years	0.05	Average rating by issuers (WARF)	A
Average spread	297 bps	Average rating by instruments (WARF)	BBB-

Asset manager
Axiom Alternative Investments
Legal structure
Luxembourg SICAV : Axiom Lux
Strategy inception date ⁴
03/16/2015
Sub-fund inception date ⁴
Absorption on the 01/11/2019
ISIN Code
LU1876458750
Minimum subscription
250 000 EUR
Share class currency
EUR
Management fees
0.8%
Entry charge
0% (2% max.)
Exit charge
0% (2% max.)
Performance fee
None
Type of share
Accumulation
Valuation frequency
Daily
Cut-off and settlement day
before 12.00 PM / 3 business days
Main risks
Credit risk, counterparty risk, liquidity risk (for more information please refer to the Fund's prospectus)

Past performance is not indicative of future results

Source : Axiom AI | ¹ Risk and reward profile represents the annual historical volatility of the sub-fund over a 5-year period. Historical data such as that used to calculate the synthetic indicator may not be a reliable indication of the Fund's future risk profile. The risk category associated with the Fund is not guaranteed and may change over time. The lowest risk category does not mean "risk free". The capital initially invested is not guaranteed | ² Sustainable finance disclosure : products that promote environmental and/or social characteristics | ³ There is no guarantee that the investment objective will be achieved or that there will be a return on investment | ⁴ Fund created as a FCP under French law on 03/16/2015 before being absorbed by the SICAV Axiom Lux under Luxembourg law on 01/11/2019 | ⁵ More information about the indexes : : <https://www.theice.com/index> | ⁶ Yield to maturity, excluding cash, in all currencies. Yield to maturity is the rate of return on the portfolio assuming that the securities are not redeemed and held in perpetuity | ⁷ Net of fees performances

Monthly commentary



ADRIAN PATURLE
Portfolio Manager

After the optimism seen earlier this year, the bond markets turned down in February.

Long maturity rates' volatility has surged back, consequence of a persistent inflation and recession fears that are steadily subsiding.

Thus, the French 10-year yield rose from 2.73% to 3.17% during the month and the US 10-year from 3.87% to 4%. Credit spreads returned to their initial levels, the Senior Fin ending the month at 88 bps.

The fund has been active. The objective is to increase the carry while reducing the risk after a good start of the year. The exposure to the Senior Fin index has been cancelled (25% of the fund at the end of January) and the securities with an important backend have been increased (notably the purchase of the primary issued by Bankinter with a coupon of 7.375%).

February was a calm month for banks and insurers regarding sustainable/climate finance. Perhaps the biggest event of the month involved BNP Paribas, which was sued by a group of NGOs, claiming that the bank is not doing enough in their fossil fuels financing policy to meet the climate goals.

In January this year the bank tightened its policy to reduce outstanding financing for oil and gas extraction and production by more than 80 and 30% respectively. However, this was not considered enough by the group of NGOs. BNP was therefore suit for failing to follow the French "duty of vigilance" law. A law that requires large companies to have a vigilance plan to assess and prevent their operational impacts on the environment and human rights.

This lawsuit is the first of its kind, it is highly possible that the judge declares de lawsuit inadmissible as in previous lawsuit to other companies, the law has been found imprecise enough to determine a winning party. However, if not the case, it will open the door for lawsuits to the majority French banks.



LAURA RAMIREZ
Analyst ESG

These examples do not constitute an investment recommendation

Portfolio management and research team



David BENAMOU
Managing Partner
Chief Investments Officer



Jérôme LEGRAS
Managing Partner
Head of Research



Antonio ROMAN
Portfolio Manager




Adrian PATURLE
Partner
Portfolio Manager



Gildas SURRY
Partner
Portfolio Manager



Paul GAGEY
Portfolio Manager




Laura RAMIREZ
ESG Analyst

This document is reserved solely for professional investors within the meaning of the European Directive on markets in financial instruments 2014/65/EU. This promotional document is a simplified presentation tool and does not constitute either a subscription offer or investment advice. This document may not be reproduced, distributed or communicated, in whole or in part, without the prior authorization of the management company. Access to the products and services presented may be subject to restrictions for certain persons or countries. The tax treatment depends on the situation of each individual. The DICI must be transmitted to the subscriber prior to each subscription. For complete information on the strategic orientations, the execution policy and all fees, please read the prospectus, the DICI and other regulatory information available on our website www.axiom-ai.com or free of charge on request from the registered office of the management company. Sub-fund of AXIOM LUX, an open-ended investment company with variable capital governed by the laws of The Duchy of Luxembourg and authorized by the financial regulator (the CSSF) as a UCITS. The prospectus for Switzerland, the Key Investor Information Document, the semi-annual and annual reports and other information can be obtained free of charge from the Swiss representative and the payment office of the fund : CACEIS (Switzerland) SA, SA, Route de Signy 35, CH-1260 Nyon. The payment service for Switzerland is CACEIS Bank, Montrouge, branch of Nyon/Suisse, Route de Signy 35, CH-1260 Nyon.

Portfolio breakdown (in % of assets)


Breakdown by maturity¹




Top 5 issuers²

SWEDBANK AB	7.41%
NORDEA BANK ABP	5.97%
BANKINTER SA	4.71%
CAIXABANK SA	4.65%
BBVA	4.63%


Breakdown by subordination³



Breakdown by rating³



Breakdown by country¹



Glossary

Dette subordination	The general principle is that when there is not enough money to pay all the debts, the subordinated debt will be paid after the other debts.
Tier 1	These securities do not have priority in the event of liquidation, they do not have a maturity date, their coupon is optional and cannot be accumulated. They are the riskiest debt securities and therefore offer some of the highest returns.
Legacy bonds	Hybrid debt that was eligible as regulatory capital under Basel 1 or Basel 2 and that is not eligible under Basel 3.
Contingent convertible (Coco)	Securities that can be converted into shares under certain circumstances, usually when a solvency ratio threshold has been crossed.
ITR (Implied Temperature Rise)	Also known as 2° alignment metric, is a forward-looking measure that attempts to estimate a global temperature associated with the greenhouse gas emissions of entities in a portfolio or investment strategy.
ESG	Refers to the Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) criteria that enable an analysis of a company's non-financial performance.
Energy transition	Refers to the transition from the current energy production system, mainly based on fossil fuels, to an energy mix based largely on renewable or low carbon energies.

Source : Axiom AI | ¹ Analysis calculated on the scope of interest rate instruments; all derivatives excluded except single-name CDS | ² Excluding Government bonds | ³ Fixed income securities only

Key ESG indicators

Axiom Climate Financial Bonds **Investment universe**

Key metrics

Selection rate² : 49%

	ACRS	°C	ESG
Portfolio rating	44%	2,6	64
Universe rating	38%	2,7	53
# of companies in the universe	60	57	106
# of companies in the portfolio	41	41	38


The ACRS, implied temperature (°C) and ESG scores represent 93%, 93% and 86% of the fund's assets respectively (index & derivatives excluded).

¹ Percentage of the universe excluded for ESG reason


Top 5 positions ranked by ACRS

Valeur	Pays	ACRS	°C	ESG
ERSTE GROUP	AT	55%	2,6	39
AXA SA	FR	52%	-	91
NATWEST GROUP	GB	52%	2,8	61
STANDARD CHARTERED	GB	51%	2,9	47
BBVA	ES	50%	2,7	86


ACRS by pillar



Breakdown by type of financial institution



Breakdown by Implied Temperature Rise (ITR)



Our ESG and climate approach

General methodology

The selection is based on the following ESG tools :

- **Exclusion policy:** determines the exclusions we make due to proven controversies, non-adherence to major initiatives such as the PRB (Principle for Responsible Banking) and sector or thematic restrictions.
- **ACRS - Axiom Climate Readiness Score:** in-house climate rating methodology.
- **ESG Database:** analysis of ESG factors and their rating.

The combination of all these tools allows us to offer a fund with an ESG score higher than that of issuers in the top three quartiles of its investment universe.

Our climate methodology

The fund is targeting leading issuers in terms of climate change integration. It evaluates issuers based on :

Corporate engagement

Sets the priority level given to climate change by the board and top management, the company's climate strategy, and corresponding objectives, as well as the degree of transparency of communication and the means deployed to address climate change.

Climate risk and opportunities

Assesses the processes and tools used to identify, measure and mitigate the issuer's exposure to climate-related risks, as well as its approach to seizing opportunities arising from the energy transition.

Climate contribution

Assesses the share of the issuer's investments and/or loans in companies or financial instruments that seek to contribute to the "greening" of the economy as well as the products or solutions offered that aim to combat climate change. In the case of banks, Axiom AI is computing an ITR metric (Implied Temperature Rise).

More information on our climate approach is available upon request.

Source : Axiom AI, based on S&P Market Intelligence, Iceberg datalab and our own research | The estimates presented here cannot be compared to other ESG or climate funds because they are based on a proprietary methodology developed by Axiom AI. Our methodology relies on third-party data from ESG/Climate data providers that may contain inaccurate or incomplete data. In the event of insufficient data, these providers may resort to estimates and approximations using internal methodologies that may be subjective. As we rely on this data for our investment decisions, such uncertainty can negatively impact portfolio performance.



Main risks

Risk of loss of capital: the sub-funds do not offer any protection or guarantee. As a result, investors may not be able to fully recover their initial investment.

Operational risk: the risk of losses resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes, people, systems or external events. The occurrence of these risks may cause the net asset value of the fund to fall

Currency risk: as some of the assets may be denominated in currencies other than the reference currency, the sub-fund may be affected by changes in exchange controls or in the exchange rates between the reference currency and these other currencies. For this reason, the sub-fund will systematically hedge against this risk. However, a residual risk remains. These exchange rate fluctuations may cause the net asset value of the sub-fund to fall.

Credit risk: this risk arises from the possibility that an issuer of bonds or debt securities may not be able to honour its payment obligations, i.e. the payment of coupons and/or the repayment of capital at maturity. Such a default may result in a decrease in the net asset value of the sub-fund (including total return swaps or DPSs). This also includes the risk of a downgrade of the issuer's credit rating.

Counterparty risk: A sub-fund that invests in OTC derivatives may be exposed to the risk arising from the creditworthiness of its counterparties and their ability to meet the terms of such contracts. The sub-fund may enter into forward contracts, options and swaps, including CDS, or use derivative techniques, which involves the risk that the counterparty may not meet its obligations under each contract.

Exchange rate: Any investment in equities may involve directly or indirectly an exchange rate risk. While the net asset value of the sub-fund is calculated in its reference currency, the performance of an underlying asset or its components denominated in a currency other than the reference currency will also depend on the exchange rate of that currency. Similarly, the currency other than the reference currency in which an asset of the sub-fund is denominated implies a currency risk for the sub-fund.

Liquidity risk: risk arising from the difficulty or impossibility of selling securities held in the portfolio when necessary and at the price at which the portfolio is valued, due to the limited size of the market or insufficient trading volumes on the market where these securities are usually traded. The realisation of this risk may result in a decrease in the net asset value of the sub-fund.

Use of derivatives: If a sub-fund whose performance is linked to an underlying asset frequently invests in derivatives or securities other than the underlying asset, derivative techniques will be used to link the value of the shares to the performance of the underlying asset. While the prudent use of such derivative techniques may be beneficial, derivatives also involve risks which in some cases may be greater than the risks associated with more traditional instruments. Transaction costs may be associated with the use of such derivatives.

Climate/ESG data risk: The Management Company's ESG integration process relies on third party data from climate/ESG data providers. Data providers may apply different models and use different sources of information, which may contain inaccurate, incomplete or unaudited data. In addition, where data is insufficient, data providers may use internal methods to produce subjective estimates and approximations. Similarly, the Management Company conducts qualitative analysis based on self-reported information, which is generally not audited by a third party. As the portfolio manager bases its investment decisions on this data, this uncertainty in data collection may have a negative impact on the performance of the portfolio.